arXiv:1602.05278v1 [quant-ph] 17 Feb 2016

Length filtration of the separable states

Lin Chen[1, 2, *] and Dragomir Ž Đoković[3, †]

[1] *School of Mathematics and Systems Science, Beihang University, Beijing 100191, China*

[2] *International Research Institute for Multidisciplinary Science, Beihang University, Beijing 100191, China*

[3] *Department of Pure Mathematics and Institute for Quantum Computing, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario, N2L 3G1, Canada*

(Dated: February 18, 2016)

We investigate the separable states ρ of an arbitrary multipartite quantum system with Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ of dimensionin d. The length $L(\rho)$ of ρ is defined as the smallest number of pure product states having ρ as their mixture. The length filtration of the set of separable states, $\mathcal{S}$, is the increasing chain $\emptyset \subset \mathcal{S}'_1 \subseteq \mathcal{S}'_2 \subseteq \cdots$, where $\mathcal{S}'_i = \{\rho \in \mathcal{S} : L(\rho) \leq i\}$. We define the maximum length, $L_{\max} = \max_{\rho\in\mathcal{S}} L(\rho)$, critical length, L_{crit}, and yet another special length, L_c, which was defined by a simple formula in one of our previous papers. The critical length indicates the first term in the length filtrartion whose dimension is equal to $\operatorname{Dim}\mathcal{S}$. We show that in general $d \leq L_c \leq L_{\text{crit}} \leq L_{\max} \leq d^2$.

We conjecture that the equality $L_{\text{crit}} = L_c$ holds for all finite-dimensional multipartite quantum systems. Our main result is that $L_{\text{crit}} = L_c$ for the bipartite systems having a single qubit as one of the parties. This is accomplished by computing the rank of the Jacobian matrix of a suitable map having $\mathcal{S}$ as its range.

* linchen@buaa.edu.cn (corresponding author)

† djokovic@uwaterloo.ca

CONTENTS

I. Introduction 2

II. Two filtrations of the set of separable states 4

III. Critical length 8

IV. Rank of the Jacobian matrix of Φ_r 11

V. The bipartite case $2 \otimes N$ 14

Acknowledgments 19

References 19

I. INTRODUCTION

In quantum information theory, quantum entanglement is the basic resource and quantum separable states do not contain entanglement [1]. Understanding the properties of separable states and deciding whether a given state is separable (an NP-hard problem) is one of the fundamental open problems of quantum physics. By the entanglement measure for mixed quantum states [2], the length of separable states represents the minimal physical effort needed to implement the state. Two separable states of different lengths are not equivalent under stochastic local operations and classical communications [3]. Further, the length of the operator of the bipartite symmetric subspace is related to the existence of symmetrically-informational-completely positive operator-valued measure (SIC-POVM) [4], which is a main open problem in quantum measurement and information. In spite of the various applications of length, its computation is mathematically hard and has attracted much attention in recent years [5–14].

To state and explain our results we need the following definitions which, will be used in the whole paper. Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_n$ be the complex Hilbert space of a finite-dimensional n-partite quantum system. We denote by d_i the dimension of $\mathcal{H}_i$, and so $d := \prod d_i$ is the dimension of $\mathcal{H}$. To avoid trivial cases, we assume that each $d_i > 1$ and $n > 1$. A *product vector* is a nonzero vector of the form $|x\rangle = |x_1\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |x_n\rangle$ where

$|x_i\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_i$. We shall write this product vector also as $|x_1, \ldots, x_n\rangle$. A *pure product state* is a state ρ of the form $\rho = |x\rangle\langle x|$ where $|x\rangle$ is a product vector.

A (non-normalized) state ρ is *separable* if it is a sum of pure product states, i.e.,

$$\rho = \sum_{k=1}^{l} |z_k\rangle\langle z_k|, \tag{1}$$

where the $|z_k\rangle$ are product vectors. The *length*, $L(\rho)$, of ρ is the smallest integer l over all such decompositions of ρ.

In this paper, we will investigate the separable states in terms of their rank and length, see (3). They provide two filtrations of the set of separable states, namely the rank and length filtration, see (6) and (7). Some inclusion relations among the first few terms of these two filtrations are proved in (10) and Proposition 2. To further investigate the length filtration we introduce the notions of maximum length, critical length and recall an older specific length L_c in Definition 4. Their relation is elucidated in Proposition 6 and Conjecture 7. This conjecture is the main problem of this paper, and we will prove that it is true for the bipartite $2 \otimes d_2$ systems, see Theorem 11. For this purpose, we define the map Φ_r in (19), and compute the rank of its Jacobian matrix for $r = d$, see Sec. IV.

A vector $|x\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ is *normalized* if $\|x\| = 1$. We denote by H the space of Hermitian operators ρ on $\mathcal{H}$. Note that H is a real vector space of dimension d^2. We denote by H_1 the affine hyperplane of H defined by the equation $\operatorname{Tr}\rho = 1$. The mixed quantum states of this quantum system are represented by their density matrices, i.e., operators $\rho \in H$ which are positive semidefinite ($\rho \geq 0$) and have unit trace ($\operatorname{Tr}\rho = 1$). For convenience, we often work with non-normalized states, i.e., Hermitian operators ρ such that $\rho \geq 0$ and $\rho \neq 0$. It will be clear from the context whether we require the states to be normalized. We denote by $\mathcal{R}(\rho)$ the range of a linear operator ρ.

We assume that an orthonormal basis is fixed in each $\mathcal{H}_i$ and we use the standard notation $|0\rangle, \ldots, |d_i - 1\rangle$ for the corresponding basis vectors. The product vectors $|i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_n\rangle$, $0 \leq i_k < d_k$, form an orthonormal (o.n.) basis of $\mathcal{H}$. We refer to this basis as the *standard basis*. When necessary, we shall write the standard basis vector $|i\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_q$ as $|i\rangle_q$. We write $\operatorname{End} V$ for the algebra of linear operators on a finite-dimensional complex vector space V. The operation of transposition applied only to the ith tensor factor of $\operatorname{End}\mathcal{H} = \operatorname{End}\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \operatorname{End}\mathcal{H}_i \otimes \cdots \otimes \operatorname{End}\mathcal{H}_n$ will be denoted by Γ_i. We denote by Θ the abelian group of order 2^n generated by the Γ_is. We refer to the elements of Θ as the *partial transposition*

operators. Thus if ρ is a state on $\mathcal{H}$, then $\Gamma_i\rho$ is the ith partial transpose of ρ.

A vector $|x\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ is *real* if all components of $|x\rangle$ (with respect to the standard basis) are real. A state is *real* if its density matrix is a real symmetric matrix. The group Θ preserves the length of separable states ρ, i.e., we have

$$L(\Gamma\rho) = L(\rho), \quad \forall\Gamma \in \Theta. \tag{2}$$

On the other hand ρ and $\Gamma\rho$ may have different ranks. In the bipartite case, for any linear operator ρ on $\mathcal{H}$, we refer to the ordered pair (rank ρ, rank $\Gamma_1\rho$) as the *birank* of ρ.

II. TWO FILTRATIONS OF THE SET OF SEPARABLE STATES

We denote by $\mathcal{S}$ the set of normalized separable states. Let $\partial\mathcal{S}$ denote the boundary of $\mathcal{S}$. For any subset $X \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ let KX be the cone over X, i.e., $KX = \{t\rho : t \geq 0,\ \rho \in X\}$. For any positive integer r we set

$$\mathcal{S}_r = \{\rho \in \mathcal{S} : \text{rank } \rho \leq r\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{S}'_r = \{\rho \in \mathcal{S} : L(\rho) \leq r\}. \tag{3}$$

Obviously $\mathcal{S}'_i \subseteq \mathcal{S}'_{i+1}$ for each i, and it is well known that $\mathcal{S}'_{d^2} = \mathcal{S}$. It is also known that $\operatorname{Dim}\mathcal{S} = d^2 - 1$ [15, Theorem 1]. Note that $\mathcal{S}_{d-1}$ is contained in the hypersurface of H_1 defined by the equation $\det\rho = 0$. Consequently, we have

$$\operatorname{Dim}\mathcal{S}_{d-1} \leq d^2 - 2. \tag{4}$$

Since for each $k \leq d$ there exists $\rho \in \mathcal{S}'_k$ having rank k, we have

$$\mathcal{S}'_k \not\subseteq \mathcal{S}_{k-1} \quad \text{for} \quad k \leq d. \tag{5}$$

The dimensions of the sets $\mathcal{S}'_k$ for all k were computed for several systems in our paper [7]. In particular this was done for bipartite systems $2 \otimes N$ with $N < 9$. We have extended these computations to all $N \leq 20$. Hence the results presented in [7, Table I] for the $2 \otimes N$ case are valid in the extended range $1 < N \leq 20$. In particular, in these cases we have $\operatorname{Dim}\mathcal{S}'_d = d^2 - 1$ and $\operatorname{Dim}\mathcal{S}'_{d-1} = d^2 - 3$,

It is much harder to compute the dimension of the sets $\mathcal{S}_k$. For instance, in the two-qubit case we know that $\operatorname{Dim}\mathcal{S}_1 = 4$, $\operatorname{Dim}\mathcal{S}_2 = 9$ and $\operatorname{Dim}\mathcal{S}_4 = 15$ because $\mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{S}'_1$, $\mathcal{S}_2 = \mathcal{S}'_2$ and $\mathcal{S}_4 = \mathcal{S}$. It follows from (4) that $\operatorname{Dim}\mathcal{S}_3 \leq 14$ and since $\operatorname{Dim}\mathcal{S}'_3 = 13$ (see [7, Table I]) we have $\operatorname{Dim}\mathcal{S}_3 \geq 13$. The following general lemma implies that this dimension is 14.

Lemma 1 *If* $\mathrm{Dim}\,\mathcal{S}'_k < d-1$ *then* $\mathrm{Dim}\,\mathcal{S}'_k < \mathrm{Dim}\,\mathcal{S}_{k+1}$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{S}'_k$ is a semialgebraic set, it is a finite disjoint union of C^∞ submanifolds of H_1. At least one of these submanifolds, say X, has dimension equal to $m := \mathrm{Dim}\,\mathcal{S}'_k$. Fix a point $\sigma \in X$ and choose a pure product state ρ not in the tangent plane to X at σ. Then the union Y of all line segments joining ρ to a point of X has dimension $m+1$. As $Y \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{k+1}$, we have $\mathrm{Dim}\,\mathcal{S}_{k+1} \geq \mathrm{Dim}\,Y > m$. □

More generally, this lemma implies that the equality sign holds in (4) in the case of $2 \otimes N$ systems with $1 < N \leq 20$.

The sets defined in (3) form two filtrations of $\mathcal{S}$:

$$\emptyset \subset \mathcal{S}_1 \subset \mathcal{S}_2 \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{S}_d = \mathcal{S}, \tag{6}$$

$$\emptyset \subset \mathcal{S}'_1 \subseteq \mathcal{S}'_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathcal{S}'_{d^2} = \mathcal{S}. \tag{7}$$

We refer to them as the *rank filtration* and the *length filtration* of $\mathcal{S}$, respectively.

It is easy to see that $\mathcal{S}_k$ and $\mathcal{S}'_k$ are closed sets. We remark that in fact $\mathcal{S}_k$ is the closure of the set $\{\rho \in \mathcal{S} : \mathrm{rank}\,(\rho) = k\}$, and $\mathcal{S}'_k$ is the closure of $\{\rho \in \mathcal{S} : L(\rho) = k\}$.

Let us compare the initial terms of these two filtrations. Note that $\mathcal{S}'_1 = \mathcal{S}_1$ and that $\mathcal{S}'_k \subseteq \mathcal{S}_k$ for each k. It follows from [8, Lemma 11] that also $\mathcal{S}'_2 = \mathcal{S}_2$. On the other hand, we claim that

$$\mathcal{S}'_3 \subset \mathcal{S}_3 \subset \mathcal{S}'_4. \tag{8}$$

Since there exist separable states of rank 3 and length 4, see [6, Table I], we have $\mathcal{S}'_3 \subset \mathcal{S}_3$. The fact that $\mathcal{S}_2 = \mathcal{S}'_2$ and [8, Theorem 15] imply that $\mathcal{S}_3 \subseteq \mathcal{S}'_4$. Since there exist separable states of rank 4 and length 4, this inclusion is strict and our claim is proved.

Next we claim that for $d > 4$ we have

$$\mathcal{S}'_4 \subseteq \mathcal{S}_4 \subset \mathcal{S}'_6. \tag{9}$$

The first inclusion is obvious. It follows from [8, Lemma 17] that $\mathcal{S}_4 \subseteq \mathcal{S}'_6$. So the second inclusion relation is equivalent to show that there is some state in $\mathcal{S}'_6$ but not in $\mathcal{S}_4$. The state can be chosen as the partial transpose of the state in [8, Eq. (14)], a 3-qubit state of rank six and length six.

For $\rho \in \mathcal{S}'_k$ and $\Gamma \in \Theta$ we have $\mathrm{rank}\ \Gamma\rho \leq L(\Gamma\rho) = L(\rho) \leq k$. Hence,

$$\mathcal{S}'_k \subseteq \{\rho \in \mathcal{S}_k : \mathrm{rank}\ \Gamma\rho \leq k,\ \forall \Gamma \in \Theta\}. \tag{10}$$

If equality sign holds in (10), then we obtain a very simple characterization of $\mathcal{S}'_k$ as a subset of $\mathcal{S}_k$. We now that the equality holds if and only if $k \leq 4$.

Proposition 2 *Let ρ be a multipartite separable state. Then*

(i) $L(\rho) = 3$ if and only if rank $\Gamma\rho = 3$, $\forall\Gamma \in \Theta$.

(ii) The equality sign holds in (10) *if and only if $k \leq 4$.*

Proof. (i) If $L(\rho) = 3$ then (10) shows that rank $\Gamma\rho \leq 3$, $\forall\Gamma$. If rank $\Gamma\rho < 3$ for some Γ then $\Gamma\rho \in \mathcal{S}_2 = \mathcal{S}'_2$, contradicting that $L(\Gamma\rho) = 3$. Conversely, assume that rank $\Gamma\rho = 3$, $\forall\Gamma$. Then [8, Theorem 15] shows that $L(\rho)$ is 3 or 4, and if it is 4 then ρ is a two-qubit state. The possibility $L(\rho) = 4$ is ruled out by [6, Table 1].

(ii) Let $\rho \in \mathcal{S}_k$ be such that rank $\Gamma\rho \leq k$, $\forall\Gamma \in \Theta$. We have to prove that $\rho \in \mathcal{S}'_k$ if $k \leq 4$. If $k = 1$ or 2 then $\rho \in \mathcal{S}_k = \mathcal{S}'_k$.

Now let $k = 3$. If rank $\Gamma\rho < 3$ for some Γ, then $\Gamma\rho \in \mathcal{S}_2 = \mathcal{S}'_2$ and so $\rho \in \mathcal{S}'_2 \subset \mathcal{S}'_3$. Thus we may assume that rank $\Gamma\rho = 3$, $\forall\Gamma$. Then (i) shows that $\rho \in \mathcal{S}'_3$.

Finally, let $k = 4$. Assume that rank $\Gamma\rho < 4$ for some Γ, i.e., that $\Gamma\rho \in \mathcal{S}_3$. If $\Gamma\rho \in \mathcal{S}_2 = \mathcal{S}'_2$ then $\rho \in \mathcal{S}'_2 \subseteq \mathcal{S}'_4$. Otherwise rank $\Gamma\rho = 3$ and [8, Theorem 15] shows that $\Gamma\rho \in \mathcal{S}'_4$. Consequently $\rho \in \mathcal{S}'_4$. From now on we assume that rank $\Gamma\rho = 4$, $\forall\Gamma$.

Assume that ρ is A_i-reducible for some index i, i.e., $\rho = \alpha \oplus_{A_i} \beta$, see [8, Definition 6] for the definition of reducibility and irreducibility. It follows that $\Gamma\rho = \Gamma\alpha \oplus_{A_i} \Gamma\beta$, $\forall\Gamma$. Consequently, both $\Gamma\alpha$ and $\Gamma\beta$ have rank at most 3. Since (iii) is already proved for $k \leq 3$, we conclude that $L(\alpha) =$ rank α and $L(\beta) =$ rank β. It follows that $L(\rho) = 4$, and so $\rho \in \mathcal{S}'_4$. From now on we assume that ρ is irreducible.

Let r_i denote the rank of the reduced density operator ρ_{A_i}. We may assume that $r_1 \leq r_2 \leq \cdots \leq r_n$.

Let us first consider the bipartite case ($n = 2$). Since ρ is irreducible, we have $r_1 > 1$. Thus $2 \leq r_1 \leq r_2 \leq 4$. If $r_2 = 2$ then $\rho \in \mathcal{S}'_4$ because all separable two-qubit states have length at most 4. If $r_2 = 3$ then $\rho \in \mathcal{S}'_4$ by [6, Proposition 3]. If $r_2 = 4$ then $\rho \in \mathcal{S}'_4$ by [16, Corollary 3(a)].

Now let $n > 2$. Since ρ is irreducible, [8, Lemma 17 (ii)] implies that $L(\rho) \leq 4$ when $r_n > 2$. Hence the assertion holds. We have $r_i = 2$ for all i. In the paragraphs from the beginning to (13) in the proof of [8, Lemma 17 (iii)], we have shown that $L(\rho) \leq 4$, except that $\rho = \sum_{i=1}^{l} |a_i, \cdots, a_i\rangle\langle a_i, \cdots, a_i|$ with $l \geq 5$. Furthermore, we have the fact that any

k-partite reduced density operator of ρ has rank three for $k \in [2, n-1]$. Up to ILOs we can assume that $|a_1\rangle = |0\rangle$ and $|a_2\rangle = |1\rangle$. By replacing ρ by $\Gamma\rho$ with any $\Gamma \in \Theta$ in the above argument, we can assume that the $|a_i\rangle$ are all real and pairwise linearly independent. If $n > 3$, the tripartite reduced density operator of ρ has rank bigger than three. It gives us a contradiction with the above fact.

So $n = 3$, i.e., $\rho = |0,0,0\rangle\langle 0,0,0| + |1,1,1\rangle\langle 1,1,1| + \sum_{i=3}^{l} |a_i, a_i, a_i\rangle\langle a_i, a_i, a_i|$ where $l \geq 5$, the $|a_i\rangle$ are all real and pairwise linearly independent. We regard ρ as a bipartite state with the system split $A_1 : A_2A_3$. So ρ is a 2×3 separable state of birank $(4, 4)$. It follows from [6] that $\rho = \sum_{j=1}^{4} |b_j, c_j\rangle\langle b_j, c_j|$ where $|c_j\rangle$ is a two-qubit state of the system A_2A_3. Any $|b_j, c_j\rangle$ is in the range of ρ, which is the 3-qubit symmetric subspace, So $|c_j\rangle \propto |b_j, b_j\rangle$, and $L(\rho) \leq 4$.

Thus we have proved the "if" part of (ii). The "only if" part follows from the example below. This completes the proof. □

Example 3 We construct a 3×3 separable state ρ of length 6 such that both ρ and $\Gamma_1\rho$ have rank 5. The product vectors

$$\begin{aligned}
|\psi_1\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle(|0\rangle - |1\rangle), \\
|\psi_2\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|2\rangle(|1\rangle - |2\rangle), \\
|\psi_3\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle - |1\rangle)|2\rangle, \\
|\psi_4\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|1\rangle - |2\rangle)|0\rangle, \\
|\psi_5\rangle &= \frac{1}{3}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle + |2\rangle)(|0\rangle + |1\rangle + |2\rangle)
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

form an unextendible product basis (UPB) [17]. There is a unique sixth product vector in their span, namely

$$\begin{aligned}
|\psi_6\rangle &= \frac{1}{9}(2|0\rangle - |1\rangle + 2|2\rangle)(2|0\rangle - |1\rangle + 2|2\rangle) \\
&= \frac{1}{3}(|\psi_5\rangle - \sqrt{2}(|\psi_1\rangle - |\psi_2\rangle + |\psi_3\rangle - |\psi_4\rangle)).
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

It is easy to verify that both $\rho := \sum_{i=1}^{6} |\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i|$ and $\Gamma_1\rho$ have rank 5 and that the 6 product states $|\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i|$ are linearly independent. Since $\mathcal{R}(\rho)$ contains only 6 product vectors up to scalar multiples, ρ admits only one expression as a convex linear combination of normalized product states. Consequently, we have $L(\rho) = 6$.

In connection with Proposition 2(i) above, we point out that $L(\rho) = 4$ does not imply that rank $\Gamma\rho = 4$, $\forall\Gamma \in \Theta$. A counterexample is the two-qubit separable state $I_4 + (|00\rangle + |11\rangle)(\langle 00| + \langle 11|)$ of birank $(4, 3)$ [6].

III. CRITICAL LENGTH

Let us introduce three important integers associated to the length filtration.

Definition 4 *First, the* maximum length *of separable states, $L_{\max}$, is the smallest positive integer r such that $\mathcal{S}'_r = \mathcal{S}$. It follows from the definition of length that $\mathcal{S}'_i$ is a proper subset of $\mathcal{S}'_{i+1}$ for $i < L_{\max}$.*

Second, the critical length, *L_{crit}, is the smallest positive integer r such that* $\operatorname{Dim}\mathcal{S}'_r = d^2 - 1$. *Equivalently, it is the smallest r such that $\mathcal{S}'_r$ has positive volume as a subset of the affine hyperplane H_1.*

Third, the integer l introduced in our paper [7]. We rename it L_c, and recall its definition

$$L_c = \left\lceil \frac{d^2}{1 + 2\sum(d_i - 1)} \right\rceil, \tag{13}$$

where $\lceil x \rceil$ denotes the least integer k such that $x \leq k$.

It was shown in [7, Theorem 8] that there exist separable states of length L_c, and it follows from the same theorem that

$$\operatorname{Dim}\mathcal{S}'_r < d^2 - 1 \quad \text{for} \quad r < L_c. \tag{14}$$

Let us show that $L_c \geq d$.

Lemma 5 *We have $L_c \geq d$ and equality holds if and only if $n = 2$ and $(d_1 - 2)(d_2 - 2) \leq 1$.*

Proof. The inequality $L_c \geq d$ is equivalent to

$$1 + 2\sum_{i=1}^{n}(d_i - 1) < \frac{d^2}{d-1} = d + 1 + \frac{1}{d-1}.$$

As $d > 2$, this is equivalent to

$$d - 2\sum_{i=1}^{n}(d_i - 1) \geq 0. \tag{15}$$

In the proof of [7, Corollary 9] it was shown that $f(d_1, \ldots, d_n) := d - 2\sum_i (d_i - 1)$ is a strictly increasing function of a single variable d_i (for each i). Hence, $f(d_1, \ldots, d_n) \geq f(2, \ldots, 2) = 2^n - 2n \geq 0$ and so (15) holds and we have $L_c \geq d$.

Assume that $L_c = d$. Then we must have $2^n = 2n$ and so $n = 2$. By using (13) and $L_c \leq d$, we obtain that $(d_1 - 2)(d_2 - 2) \leq 1$. Conversely, one can easily verify that $L_c = d$ when $n = 2$ and $(d_1 - 2)(d_2 - 2) \leq 1$. □

To summarize, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 6 *For any finite-dimensional multipartite quantum system, the following inequalities hold*

$$d \leq L_c \leq L_{\text{crit}} \leq L_{\max} \leq d^2. \tag{16}$$

The values of $L_{\max}$ are not known except for $d \leq 6$ in which case we have $L_{\max} = d$ (see [6]). In the systems $2 \otimes 4$ and $3 \otimes 3$ it is known that there exist separable states of length 10 [13, 14]. By Lemma 5, in these two cases we have $L_c = d$ and so $L_c < 10 \leq L_{\max}$. We single out the three smallest cases as an open and challenging problem.

Open problem 1 Find the value of $L_{\max}$ for the quantum systems $2 \otimes 4$, $3 \otimes 3$ and $2 \otimes 2 \otimes 2$.

Although the system $2 \otimes 4$ can be realized as the system $2 \otimes 2 \otimes 2$ of three qubits by grouping together the second and third parties, we do not know how the values of $L_{\max}$ in these two systems are related.

We have mentioned earlier that $\text{Dim}\, \mathcal{S} = d^2 - 1$. However we believe that a much stronger claim holds.

Conjecture 7 *For any finite-dimensional quantum system, we have* $\text{Dim}\, \mathcal{S}'_{L_c} = d^2 - 1$ *or, equivalently,* $L_c = L_{\text{crit}}$.

We shall prove later (see Theorem 11) that this conjecture is true in the bipartite case with one party being a single qubit (i.e., the case $n = d_1 = 2$ with arbitrary d_2). We have also verified the validity of this conjecture in the cases where the dimension vector

$(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n)$ is one of the following:

$$(2,2,2),\ (2,2,2,2),\ (2,2,2,2,2),$$
$$(2,2,3),\ (2,2,2,4),\ (2,2,2,5),$$
$$(3,3),\ (3,4),\ (3,5),\ (3,6),\ (3,7),$$
$$(4,4),\ (4,5),\ (4,6),$$
$$(5,5),\ (5,6).$$

Some of these cases were handled in our paper [7, Table 1].

To simplify notation we set $\mathcal{H}_\times = \mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathcal{H}_2 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{H}_n$. Let $\varphi : \mathcal{H}_\times \to H$ be the map defined by

$$\varphi(z^{(1)}, \ldots, z^{(n)}) = |z^{(1)}\rangle\langle z^{(1)}| \otimes \cdots \otimes |z^{(n)}\rangle\langle z^{(n)}|. \tag{17}$$

More generally, for any positive integer r we shall define the map $\Phi_r : \mathcal{H}_\times^r \to H$. For convenience we write $z \in \mathcal{H}_\times^r$ as an $r \times n$ matrix

$$z = [z^{(i,q)}] \tag{18}$$

whose rows are indexed by $i = 1, 2, \ldots, r$, the columns by $q = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, and $|z^{(i,q)}\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_q$ for each i and q. We use the abbreviation $z^{(i)}$ for the ith row $(z^{(i,1)}, \ldots, z^{(i,n)})$ of the matrix z. Then Φ_r is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_r(z) = \Phi_r(z^{(1)}, \ldots, z^{(r)}) &= \sum_{i=1}^{r} \varphi(z^{(i)}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{r} |z^{(i,1)}\rangle\langle z^{(i,1)}| \otimes \cdots \otimes |z^{(i,n)}\rangle\langle z^{(i,n)}|. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

In the bipartite case these maps were introduced in [7]. It is obvious that Φ_r is invariant under permutations of the $z^{(i)}$. We note that the range of Φ_r is the cone $K\mathcal{S}_r'$. Since $\mathcal{S}_1'$ is diffeomorphic to the product of the complex projective spaces $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{H}_i) \cong \mathbf{CP}^{d_i - 1}$, we have $\operatorname{Dim} K\mathcal{S}_1' = 1 + 2\sum(d_i - 1)$. Hence, at the generic points $p \in \mathcal{H}_\times$, we have

$$\operatorname{rank} (\mathrm{d}\Phi_1)_p = 1 + 2\sum(d_i - 1). \tag{20}$$

Let us illustrate the definition of Φ_r by a simple example.

Example 8 In this example we consider the map Φ_d, i.e., we set $r = d$. We choose a very special point $p \in \mathcal{H}_\times^d$. In our matrix notation, p is represented by the $d \times n$ matrix $[p^{(s,q)}]$, where s runs through the set S of all integral sequences $s = (s_1, \ldots, s_n)$ with $0 \leq s_i < d_i$, and $p^{(s,q)} = |s_q\rangle_q$. Note that $|S| = d$ and $\Phi_d(p) = I_d$, the identity operator. In particular, it follows that the state I_d/d is separable and has length d.

When $n = 2$ and $d_1 = d_2 = 2$ (the two-qubit case) we have $S = \{(0,0), (0,1), (1,0), (1,1)\}$ and

$$p = \begin{bmatrix} |0\rangle_1 & |0\rangle_2 \\ |0\rangle_1 & |1\rangle_2 \\ |1\rangle_1 & |0\rangle_2 \\ |1\rangle_1 & |1\rangle_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

□

An intriguing question arises from the above example. As $d \leq L_{\text{crit}}$ and $I_d/d \in \mathcal{S}'_d \subseteq \mathcal{S}'_{L_{\text{crit}}}$, it is plausible that I_d/d belongs to the interior of $\mathcal{S}'_{L_{\text{crit}}}$.

Conjecture 9 *For any finite-dimensional quantum system, the point I_d/d lies in the interior of $\mathcal{S}'_{L_{\text{crit}}}$, i.e., there exists a small ball in H_1 centered at I_d/d which is contained in $\mathcal{S}'_{L_{\text{crit}}}$.*

IV. RANK OF THE JACOBIAN MATRIX OF Φ_r

Conjecture 7 is equivalent to the assertion that the differential $\mathrm{d}\Phi_{L_c}$ generically has rank d^2. For that reason we shall compute the Jacobian matrix of Φ_r for any r.

We need to introduce the coordinates. Let us write a vector $|z^{(i,q)}\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_q$ as a linear combination of the basis vectors

$$|z^{(i,q)}\rangle = \sum_{j=0}^{d_q-1} \zeta_j^{(i,q)} |j\rangle_q. \tag{21}$$

By substituting these expressions into (19), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_r(z^{(1)}, \ldots, z^{(r)}) &= \sum_{s=1}^{r} |z^{(s,1)}, \ldots, z^{(s,n)}\rangle\langle z^{(s,1)}, \ldots, z^{(s,n)}| \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{j},\mathbf{k}} c(\mathbf{j};\mathbf{k}) |\mathbf{j}\rangle\langle\mathbf{k}|, \qquad (22) \\ \mathbf{j} := j_1, \ldots, j_n; \quad \mathbf{k} &:= k_1, \ldots, k_n; \end{aligned}$$

where the indices j_q and k_q run from 0 to $d_q - 1$ for each q, and the coefficients $c(\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k})$ are given by

$$c(\mathbf{j};\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{s=1}^{r} \prod_{q=1}^{n} \zeta_{j_q}^{(s,q)} \zeta_{k_q}^{(s,q)*}. \tag{23}$$

Note that $c(\mathbf{j};\mathbf{k})$ is the (j,k)th entry of the $d \times d$ matrix (22), where

$$j = 1 + j_n + j_{n-1} d_n + j_{n-2} d_{n-1} d_n + \cdots + j_1 d_2 d_3 \cdots d_n,$$
$$k = 1 + k_n + k_{n-1} d_n + k_{n-2} d_{n-1} d_n + \cdots + k_1 d_2 d_3 \cdots d_n.$$

To introduce real coordinates, we shall write

$$\zeta_j^{(s,q)} = \xi_j^{(s,q)} + \mathbf{i}\eta_j^{(s,q)}, \tag{24}$$

where $\xi_j^{(s,q)}, \eta_j^{(s,q)} \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\mathbf{i}$ is the imaginary unit. One can easily verify that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_m^{(s,q)}} \zeta_j^{(s,q)} \zeta_k^{(s,q)*} = \delta_{mj} \zeta_k^{(s,q)*} + \delta_{mk} \zeta_j^{(s,q)}, \tag{25}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_m^{(s,q)}} \zeta_j^{(s,q)} \zeta_k^{(s,q)*} = \mathbf{i} \left(\delta_{mj} \zeta_k^{(s,q)*} - \delta_{mk} \zeta_j^{(s,q)} \right). \tag{26}$$

Let M_r' be the complex matrix whose rows are labeled with the d^2 symbols $(\mathbf{j};\mathbf{k})$ and the columns with the $2r \sum d_q$ symbols (s,q,j,ξ) and (s,q,j,η), and the corresponding matrix entry is the partial derivative of $c(\mathbf{j};\mathbf{k})$ with respect to the real variable $\xi_j^{(s,q)}$ or $\eta_j^{(s,q)}$, respectively. So M_r' is of size $d^2 \times (2r \sum d_q)$. We denote by $[\mathbf{j};\mathbf{k}]'$ the row of M_r' with label $(\mathbf{j};\mathbf{k})$, and similarly let $[s,q,j,\xi]'$ and $[s,q,j,\eta]'$ denote the columns of M_r' with labels (s,q,j,ξ) and (s,q,j,η), respectively. We order the rows and the columns by using the lexicographic ordering of their labels, with the convention that $\xi < \eta$.

For instance, in the case $n = 2$ with $d_1 = d_2 = 2$ and $r = 2$, the 16 column labels are orderd as follows: $(1,1,0,\xi)$, $(1,1,0,\eta)$, $(1,1,1,\xi)$, $(1,1,1,\eta)$, $(1,2,0,\xi)$, $(1,2,0,\eta)$, $(1,2,1,\xi)$, $(1,2,1,\eta)$, $(2,1,0,\xi)$, $(2,1,0,\eta)$, $(2,1,1,\xi)$, $(2,1,1,\eta)$, $(2,2,0,\xi)$, $(2,2,0,\eta)$, $(2,2,1,\xi)$, $(2,2,1,\eta)$.

Since the matrix (22) is Hermitian, the rows $[\mathbf{j};\mathbf{k}]'$ and $[\mathbf{k};\mathbf{j}]'$ are complex conjugates of each other.

Let M_r be the matrix obtained from M_r' by the following substitutions: if (lexicographically) $\mathbf{j} < \mathbf{k}$ resp. $\mathbf{j} > \mathbf{k}$ then we replace each entry in the row $[\mathbf{j};\mathbf{k}]'$ with its real resp. imaginary part. Then M_r is the Jacobian matrix of $\mathrm{d}\Phi_r$ (with respect to a suitable basis of

H). The rows and columns of M_r will be denoted in the same way as for M'_r except that we will omit the apostrophe sign.

It follows from (23) and (25) that the entry of M'_r in row $[\mathbf{j};\mathbf{k}]'$ and column $[s,t,m,\xi]'$ is equal to

$$\left(\delta_{m,j_t}\zeta_{k_t}^{(s,t)*}+\delta_{m,k_t}\zeta_{j_t}^{(s,t)}\right)\prod_{q\neq t}\zeta_{j_q}^{(s,q)}\zeta_{k_q}^{(s,q)*}. \tag{27}$$

Similarly, the entry in row $(\mathbf{j};\mathbf{k})$ and column $[s,t,m,\eta]'$ is equal to

$$\mathbf{i}\left(\delta_{m,j_t}\zeta_{k_t}^{(s,t)*}-\delta_{m,k_t}\zeta_{j_t}^{(s,t)}\right)\prod_{q\neq t}\zeta_{j_q}^{(s,q)}\zeta_{k_q}^{(s,q)*}. \tag{28}$$

In the special case $r=1$ the matrix M'_1 depends only on the variables $\zeta_j^{(1,q)}$, where $q=1,\dots,n$ and $j=0,1,\dots d_q-1$. So M'_1 has d^2 rows and $2\sum d_q$ columns. We indicate this dependence by writing M'_1 as $M'_1(\zeta_j^{(1,q)})$. Then M'_r has a very simple expression, namely

$$M'_r=\left[M'_1(\zeta_j^{(1,q)})\ M'_1(\zeta_j^{(2,q)})\ \cdots\ M'_1(\zeta_j^{(r,q)})\right]. \tag{29}$$

This reduces the problem of computing M'_r to the computation of M'_1 (and the same is valid for M_r and M_1).

Thus we have explicit formulas for the entries of the matrices M'_r and M_r for any r. Let us give an explicit example.

Example 10 In the case of two qubits the matrix M'_1 has size 16×8. As $r=1$, we must have $s=1$. Thus, in displaying this matrix below we may omit the first superscript:

$$\begin{bmatrix}
2\xi_0^{(1)}|\zeta_0^{(2)}|^2 & 2\eta_0^{(1)}|\zeta_0^{(2)}|^2 & 0 & 0 & 2|\zeta_0^{(1)}|^2\xi_0^{(2)} & 2|\zeta_0^{(1)}|^2\eta_0^{(2)} & 0 & 0 \\
2\xi_0^{(1)}\zeta_0^{(2)}\zeta_1^{(2)*} & 2\eta_0^{(1)}\zeta_0^{(2)}\zeta_1^{(2)*} & 0 & 0 & |\zeta_0^{(1)}|^2\zeta_1^{(2)*} & \mathbf{i}|\zeta_0^{(1)}|^2\zeta_1^{(2)*} & |\zeta_0^{(1)}|^2\zeta_0^{(2)} & -\mathbf{i}|\zeta_0^{(1)}|^2\zeta_0^{(2)} \\
\zeta_1^{(1)*}|\zeta_0^{(2)}|^2 & \mathbf{i}\zeta_1^{(1)*}|\zeta_0^{(2)}|^2 & \zeta_0^{(1)}|\zeta_0^{(2)}|^2 & -\mathbf{i}\zeta_0^{(1)}|\zeta_0^{(2)}|^2 & 2\zeta_0^{(1)}\zeta_1^{(1)*}\xi_0^{(2)} & 2\zeta_0^{(1)}\zeta_1^{(1)*}\eta_0^{(2)} & 0 & 0 \\
\zeta_1^{(1)*}\zeta_0^{(2)}\zeta_1^{(2)*} & \mathbf{i}\zeta_1^{(1)*}\zeta_0^{(2)}\zeta_1^{(2)*} & \zeta_0^{(1)}\zeta_0^{(2)}\zeta_1^{(2)*} & -\mathbf{i}\zeta_0^{(1)}\zeta_0^{(2)}\zeta_1^{(2)*} & \zeta_0^{(1)}\zeta_1^{(1)*}\zeta_1^{(2)*} & \mathbf{i}\zeta_0^{(1)}\zeta_1^{(1)*}\zeta_1^{(2)*} & \zeta_0^{(1)}\zeta_1^{(1)*}\zeta_0^{(2)} & -\mathbf{i}\zeta_0^{(1)}\zeta_1^{(1)*}\zeta_0^{(2)} \\
2\xi_0^{(1)}\zeta_0^{(2)*}\zeta_1^{(2)} & 2\eta_0^{(1)}\zeta_0^{(2)*}\zeta_1^{(2)} & 0 & 0 & |\zeta_0^{(1)}|^2\zeta_1^{(2)} & -\mathbf{i}|\zeta_0^{(1)}|^2\zeta_1^{(2)} & |\zeta_0^{(1)}|^2\zeta_0^{(2)*} & \mathbf{i}|\zeta_0^{(1)}|^2\zeta_0^{(2)*} \\
2\xi_0^{(1)}|\zeta_1^{(2)}|^2 & 2\eta_0^{(1)}|\zeta_1^{(2)}|^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2|\zeta_0^{(1)}|^2\xi_1^{(2)} & 2|\zeta_0^{(1)}|^2\eta_1^{(2)} \\
\zeta_1^{(1)*}\zeta_0^{(2)*}\zeta_1^{(2)} & \mathbf{i}\zeta_1^{(1)*}\zeta_0^{(2)*}\zeta_1^{(2)} & \zeta_0^{(1)}\zeta_0^{(2)*}\zeta_1^{(2)} & -\mathbf{i}\zeta_0^{(1)}\zeta_0^{(2)*}\zeta_1^{(2)} & \zeta_0^{(1)}\zeta_1^{(1)*}\zeta_1^{(2)} & -\mathbf{i}\zeta_0^{(1)}\zeta_1^{(1)*}\zeta_1^{(2)} & \zeta_0^{(1)}\zeta_1^{(1)*}\zeta_0^{(2)*} & \mathbf{i}\zeta_0^{(1)}\zeta_1^{(1)*}\zeta_0^{(2)*} \\
\zeta_1^{(1)*}|\zeta_1^{(2)}|^2 & \mathbf{i}\zeta_1^{(1)*}|\zeta_1^{(2)}|^2 & \zeta_0^{(1)}|\zeta_1^{(2)}|^2 & -\mathbf{i}\zeta_0^{(1)}|\zeta_1^{(2)}|^2 & 0 & 0 & 2\zeta_0^{(1)}\zeta_1^{(1)*}\xi_1^{(2)} & 2\zeta_0^{(1)}\zeta_1^{(1)*}\eta_1^{(2)} \\
\zeta_1^{(1)}|\zeta_0^{(2)}|^2 & -\mathbf{i}\zeta_1^{(1)}|\zeta_0^{(2)}|^2 & \zeta_0^{(1)*}|\zeta_0^{(2)}|^2 & \mathbf{i}\zeta_0^{(1)*}|\zeta_0^{(2)}|^2 & 2\zeta_0^{(1)*}\zeta_1^{(1)}\xi_0^{(2)} & 2\zeta_0^{(1)*}\zeta_1^{(1)}\eta_0^{(2)} & 0 & 0 \\
\zeta_1^{(1)}\zeta_0^{(2)}\zeta_1^{(2)*} & -\mathbf{i}\zeta_1^{(1)}\zeta_0^{(2)}\zeta_1^{(2)*} & \zeta_0^{(1)*}\zeta_0^{(2)}\zeta_1^{(2)*} & \mathbf{i}\zeta_0^{(1)*}\zeta_0^{(2)}\zeta_1^{(2)*} & \zeta_0^{(1)*}\zeta_1^{(1)}\zeta_1^{(2)*} & \mathbf{i}\zeta_0^{(1)*}\zeta_1^{(1)}\zeta_1^{(2)*} & \zeta_0^{(1)*}\zeta_1^{(1)}\zeta_0^{(2)} & -\mathbf{i}\zeta_0^{(1)*}\zeta_1^{(1)}\zeta_0^{(2)} \\
0 & 0 & 2\xi_1^{(1)}|\zeta_0^{(2)}|^2 & 2\eta_1^{(1)}|\zeta_0^{(2)}|^2 & 2|\zeta_1^{(1)}|^2\xi_0^{(2)} & 2|\zeta_1^{(1)}|^2\eta_0^{(2)} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 2\xi_1^{(1)}\zeta_0^{(2)}\zeta_1^{(2)*} & 2\eta_1^{(1)}\zeta_0^{(2)}\zeta_1^{(2)*} & |\zeta_1^{(1)}|^2\zeta_1^{(2)*} & \mathbf{i}|\zeta_1^{(1)}|^2\zeta_1^{(2)*} & |\zeta_1^{(1)}|^2\zeta_0^{(2)} & -\mathbf{i}|\zeta_1^{(1)}|^2\zeta_0^{(2)} \\
\zeta_1^{(1)}\zeta_0^{(2)*}\zeta_1^{(2)} & -\mathbf{i}\zeta_1^{(1)}\zeta_0^{(2)*}\zeta_1^{(2)} & \zeta_0^{(1)*}\zeta_0^{(2)*}\zeta_1^{(2)} & \mathbf{i}\zeta_0^{(1)*}\zeta_0^{(2)*}\zeta_1^{(2)} & \zeta_0^{(1)*}\zeta_1^{(1)}\zeta_1^{(2)} & -\mathbf{i}\zeta_0^{(1)*}\zeta_1^{(1)}\zeta_1^{(2)} & \zeta_0^{(1)*}\zeta_1^{(1)}\zeta_0^{(2)*} & \mathbf{i}\zeta_0^{(1)*}\zeta_1^{(1)}\zeta_0^{(2)*} \\
\zeta_1^{(1)}|\zeta_1^{(2)}|^2 & -\mathbf{i}\zeta_1^{(1)}|\zeta_1^{(2)}|^2 & \zeta_0^{(1)*}|\zeta_1^{(2)}|^2 & \mathbf{i}\zeta_0^{(1)*}|\zeta_1^{(2)}|^2 & 0 & 0 & 2\zeta_0^{(1)*}\zeta_1^{(1)}\xi_1^{(2)} & 2\zeta_0^{(1)*}\zeta_1^{(1)}\eta_1^{(2)} \\
0 & 0 & 2\xi_1^{(1)}\zeta_0^{(2)*}\zeta_1^{(2)} & 2\eta_1^{(1)}\zeta_0^{(2)*}\zeta_1^{(2)} & |\zeta_1^{(1)}|^2\zeta_1^{(2)} & -\mathbf{i}|\zeta_1^{(1)}|^2\zeta_1^{(2)} & |\zeta_1^{(1)}|^2\zeta_0^{(2)*} & \mathbf{i}|\zeta_1^{(1)}|^2\zeta_0^{(2)*} \\
0 & 0 & 2\xi_1^{(1)}|\zeta_1^{(2)}|^2 & 2\eta_1^{(1)}|\zeta_1^{(2)}|^2 & 0 & 0 & 2|\zeta_1^{(1)}|^2\xi_1^{(2)} & 2|\zeta_1^{(1)}|^2\eta_1^{(2)}
\end{bmatrix}.$$

Let us evaluate the matrices M_1' and M_1 at the point $p = [|0\rangle_1\,|0\rangle_2]$. Except for $\xi_0^{(1)} = \xi_0^{(2)} = 1$, all other coordinates of p vanish. By dropping the zero rows, we obtain the matrices

$$\begin{array}{l}
00;00 \\ 00;01 \\ 00;10 \\ 01;00 \\ 10;00
\end{array}
\begin{bmatrix}
2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -\mathbf{i} \\
0 & 0 & 1 & -\mathbf{i} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \mathbf{i} \\
0 & 0 & 1 & \mathbf{i} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix},
\qquad
\begin{bmatrix}
2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}.$$

On the left of the matrices we show the row labels inherited from M_1'. It is obvious that M_1 has rank 5, i.e., the rank of $\mathrm{d}\Phi_1$ at p is 5. This agrees with the general formula (20). □

V. THE BIPARTITE CASE $2 \otimes N$

In this section we specialize to the bipartite case $2 \otimes N$. Thus we set $n = 2$, $d_1 = 2$, $d_2 = N$, and so $d = 2N$. Further, we set $r = 2N$ and write M' and M instead of M_r' and

M_r, respectively. Our objective is to prove that Conjecture 7 is true in this case. In fact we shall prove that generically M_r has rank $d = 4N^2$.

Let a_i, b_i, $i = 1, \ldots, N$, be real parameters and p the point in $\mathcal{H}_\times^{2N}$ given by the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} |0\rangle_1 + a_1|1\rangle_1 & |0\rangle_2 \\ |0\rangle_1 + \mathbf{i}b_1|1\rangle_1 & |0\rangle_2 \\ |0\rangle_1 + a_2|1\rangle_1 & |1\rangle_2 \\ |0\rangle_1 + \mathbf{i}b_2|1\rangle_1 & |1\rangle_2 \\ |0\rangle_1 + a_3|1\rangle_1 & |2\rangle_2 \\ |0\rangle_1 + \mathbf{i}b_3|1\rangle_1 & |2\rangle_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ |0\rangle_1 + a_N|1\rangle_1 & |N-1\rangle_2 \\ |0\rangle_1 + \mathbf{i}b_N|1\rangle_1 & |N-1\rangle_2 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{30}$$

Thus the ζ-coordinates of p are $\zeta_0^{(s,1)} = 1$ for $s = 1, 2, \ldots, 2N$; $\zeta_1^{(2i-1,1)} = a_i$ and $\zeta_1^{(2i,1)} = \mathbf{i}b_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N$; $\zeta_j^{(2i-1,2)} = \zeta_j^{(2i,2)} = \delta_{j,i-1}$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N$ and $j = 0, 1, \ldots, N-1$.

We shall evaluate the matrix M' at the point p. It has d^2 rows and $4N(2+N)$ columns. The row labels are $(j_1, j_2; k_1, k_2)$ where $j_1, k_1 \in \{0, 1\}$ and $j_2, k_2 \in \{0, 1, \ldots, N-1\}$. The column labels are (s, t, m, ξ) and (s, t, m, η) where $s \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 2N\}$, $t \in \{1, 2\}$, and $m \in \{0, 1\}$ if $t = 1$ while $m \in \{0, 1, \ldots, N-1\}$ if $t = 2$. For a given s, we define s' by writing $s = 2s' - 1$ if s is odd and $s = 2s'$ if s is even.

For each column of M' and each nonzero entry in that column, we list first the row label $(j_1, j_2; k_1, k_2)$ where this entry occurs and then the entry itself. All non-listed entries are 0. The entries are computed by using the formulas (27) and (28).

Case 1: $[s, 1, m, \xi]'$.

$m = 0$:

row	s odd	s even
$(0, s'-1; 0, s'-1)$	2	2
$(0, s'-1; 1, s'-1)$	$a_{s'}$	$-\mathbf{i}b_{s'}$
$(1, s'-1; 0, s'-1)$	$a_{s'}$	$\mathbf{i}b_{s'}$

$m = 1$:

row	s odd	s even
$(0, s'-1; 1, s'-1)$	1	1
$(1, s'-1; 0, s'-1)$	1	1
$(1, s'-1; 1, s'-1)$	$2a_{s'}$	0

Case 2: $[s,1,m,\eta]'$.

$m=0:$

row	s odd	s even
$(0,s'-1;1,s'-1)$	$\mathbf{i}a_{s'}$	$b_{s'}$
$(1,s'-1;0,s'-1)$	$-\mathbf{i}a_{s'}$	$b_{s'}$

$m=1:$

row	s odd	s even
$(0,s'-1;1,s'-1)$	$-\mathbf{i}$	$-\mathbf{i}$
$(1,s'-1;0,s'-1)$	$\mathbf{i}$	$\mathbf{i}$
$(1,s'-1;1,s'-1)$	0	$2b_{s'}$

Case 3: $[s,2,m,\xi]'$.

$m=s'-1:$

row	s odd	s even
$(0,s'-1;0,s'-1)$	2	2
$(0,s'-1;1,s'-1)$	$2a_{s'}$	$-2\mathbf{i}b_{s'}$
$(1,s'-1;0,s'-1)$	$2a_{s'}$	$2\mathbf{i}b_{s'}$
$(1,s'-1;1,s'-1)$	$2a_{s'}^2$	$2b_{s'}^2$

In the next table $\{j_2,k_2\}=\{m,s'-1\}$.

$m\neq s'-1:$

row	s odd	s even
$(0,j_2;0,k_2)$	1	1
$(0,j_2;1,k_2)$	$a_{s'}$	$-\mathbf{i}b_{s'}$
$(1,j_2;0,k_2)$	$a_{s'}$	$\mathbf{i}b_{s'}$
$(1,j_2;1,k_2)$	$a_{s'}^2$	$b_{s'}^2$

Case 4: $[s,2,m,\eta]'$.

$m\neq s'-1:$

row	s odd	s even
$(0,m;0,s'-1)$	$\mathbf{i}$	$\mathbf{i}$
$(0,m;1,s'-1)$	$\mathbf{i}a_{s'}$	$b_{s'}$
$(1,m;0,s'-1)$	$\mathbf{i}a_{s'}$	$-b_{s'}$
$(1,m;1,s'-1)$	$\mathbf{i}a_{s'}^2$	$\mathbf{i}b_{s'}^2$
$(0,s'-1;0,m)$	$-\mathbf{i}$	$-\mathbf{i}$
$(0,s'-1;1,m)$	$-\mathbf{i}a_{s'}$	$-b_{s'}$
$(1,s'-1;0,m)$	$-\mathbf{i}a_{s'}$	$b_{s'}$
$(1,s'-1;1,m)$	$-\mathbf{i}a_{s'}^2$	$-\mathbf{i}b_{s'}^2$

Note that in the case 4) only $m \neq s'-1$ is shown. This means that the $2N$ columns $[s,2,s'-1,\eta]'$ of M' are 0. Consequently, the $2N$ columns $[s,2,s'-1,\eta]$ of M are also 0. Let $M^{\#}$ be the square matrix of order $4N^2$ which is obtained from M by removing these $2N$ zero columns and the additional $6N$ columns with labels $[s,1,0,\eta]$, $[s,2,s'-1,\xi]$ for $s=1,2,\ldots,2N$ and $[s,1,0,\xi]$ and $[s,1,1,\eta]$ for $s=2,4,\ldots,2N$.

We can now prove our main result which shows that Conjecture 7 is valid in $2\otimes N$.

Theorem 11 *In the bipartite system $2\otimes N$, we have $2N = L_c = L_{\rm crit}$. Equivalently,* $\mathrm{Dim}\,\mathcal{S}'_{2N} = 4N^2-1$.

Proof. It suffices to show that generically the matrix M has rank $4N^2$. We shall prove the stronger assertion, namely that

$$\det M^{\#} = \pm 2^{N(N+1)} \prod_{q=1}^{N} a_q \cdot \left(\prod_{i<j} (a_i-a_j)(b_i-b_j)(a_ia_j-b_ib_j) \right)^2 . \tag{31}$$

To avoid confusion, we shall refer to the rows and the columns of $M^{\#}$ by the labels inherited from M.

The columns $[s,1,1,\eta]$ for s odd and $[s,1,1,\xi]$ for s even belong to $M^{\#}$ and have exactly one nonzero entry. This entry is equal to 1 and occurs in the row $(1,s'-1;0,s'-1)$ and $(0,s'-1;1,s'-1)$, respectively. Let us remove from $M^{\#}$ these $2N$ rows and $2N$ columns. Then, in the remaining matrix, each of the rows with the diagonal labels, i.e., labels having the form $(j_1,j_2;j_1,j_2)$, has a single nonzero entry. This entry is in the column $[2j_2+1,1,j_1,\xi]$ and is equal to 2 if $j_1=0$ and to $2a_{j_2}$ if $j_1=1$. Let $M^{\#\#}$ be the matrix of order $4N(N-1)$ obtained by removing from $M^{\#}$ also these additional $2N$ rows and $2N$ columns. It follows that

$$\det M^{\#} = \pm 2^{2N} \prod_{q=1}^{N} a_q \cdot \det M^{\#\#}. \tag{32}$$

One can verify easily that the rows of $M^{\#\#}$ have the labels $(j_1,j_2;k_1,k_2)$ where $j_2\neq k_2$, and that the columns of $M^{\#\#}$ have the labels $(s,2,m,w)$ where $s\in\{1,2,\ldots,2N\}$, $m\in\{0,1,\ldots,N-1\}$ with $m\neq s'-1$, and $w\in\{\xi,\eta\}$.

Let u,v be integers such that $0\leq u<v<N$. We define $R_{u,v}$ to be the set of 8 row labels $(j_1,u;k_1,v)$ and $(j_1,v;k_1,u)$. We define $C_{u,v}$ to be the set of 8 column labels $(2u+1,2,v,w)$, $(2u+2,2,v,w)$, $(2v+1,2,u,w)$, $(2v+2,2,u,w)$ where $w\in\{\xi,\eta\}$. The sets $R_{u,v}$ form a

partition of the set of row labels of $M^{\#\#}$. Similarly, the sets $C_{u,v}$ form a partition of the set of column labels of $M^{\#\#}$. We denote by $M^{\#\#}_{u,v}$ the 8×8 submatrix of $M^{\#\#}$ with row labels $R_{u,v}$ and column labels $C_{u,v}$.

If $(s,2,m,w)\in C_{u,v}$ we claim that all nonzero entries of that column of $M^{\#\#}$ lie in the rows with label in $R_{u,v}$. Let us verify this claim for the column $(s=2u+1,2,m=v,\xi)\in C_{u,v}$ of $M^{\#\#}$. In this case we have $s'-1=u<v=m$. The nonzero entries in M' lying in the column with label $(s,2,m,\xi)$ are listed in the second table of Case 3 above. As s is odd, these entries belong to $\{1,a_{u+1},a_{u+1}^2\}$. In particular, they are real. By using this table, we find that the nonzero entries of M in column $(2u+1,2,v,\xi)$ are 1 in row $(0,u;0,v)$, a_{u+1} in rows $(0,u;1,v)$ and $(0,v;1,u)$, and a_{u+1}^2 in row $(1,u;1,v)$. Observe that these four rows indeed belong to $R_{u,v}$. Similarly, we find that the nonzero entries of column $(2v+1,2,u,\xi)$ are 1 in row $(0,u;0,v)$, a_{v+1} in rows $(0,u;1,v)$ and $(0,v;1,u)$, and a_{u+1}^2 in row $(1,u;1,v)$. We omit this verification for the other six columns in $C_{u,v}$.

From the above claim it follows that, up to row and column permutations, $M^{\#\#}$ is the direct sum of the $N(N-1)/2$ blocks $M^{\#\#}_{u,v}$. Consequently, we have

$$\det M^{\#\#}=\pm\prod_{0\le u<v<N}\det M^{\#\#}_{u,v}. \tag{33}$$

Next one can show that, up to row and column permutations, each block $M^{\#\#}_{u,v}$ has the following simple form:

$$\begin{array}{l}
0,u;0,v\\
0,u;1,v\\
0,v;1,u\\
1,u;1,v\\
0,v;0,u\\
1,u;0,v\\
1,v;0,u\\
1,v;1,u
\end{array}
\left[\begin{array}{cccccccc}
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0\\
a & a' & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -b & -b'\\
a & a' & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b & b'\\
a^2 & a'^2 & 0 & 0 & b^2 & b'^2 & 0 & 0\\
0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1\\
0 & 0 & -a & a' & b & b' & 0 & 0\\
0 & 0 & a & -a' & b & b' & 0 & 0\\
0 & 0 & a^2 & -a'^2 & 0 & 0 & b^2 & b'^2
\end{array}\right], \tag{34}$$

where $a=a_{u+1}$, $a'=a_{v+1}$, $b=b_{u+1}$ and $b'=b_{v+1}$. On the left of this matrix we show the labels of the rows. The first two columns of the matrix 34 have been computed above. The labels of the columns 1-8 of this matrix are $(2u+1,2,v,\xi)$, $(2v+1,2,u,\xi)$, $(2u+1,2,v,\eta)$, $(2v+1,2,u,\eta)$, $(2u+2,2,v,\xi)$, $(2v+2,2,u,\xi)$, $(2u+2,2,v,\eta)$, $(2v+2,2,u,\eta)$ respectively.

Consequently,

$$\det M_{u,v}^{\#\#} = \pm 4(a_{u+1} - a_{v+1})^2(b_{u+1} - b_{v+1})^2(a_{u+1}a_{v+1} - b_{u+1}b_{v+1})^2.$$

Now the formula (31) follows from (32) and (33). □

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

LC was supported by the NSF of China (Grant No. 11501024), and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (Grant Nos. 30426401 and 30458601). The second author was supported in part by an NSERC Discovery Grant.

---

[1] R.F. Werner, Quantum states with einstein-podolsky-rosen correlations admitting a hidden-variable model, Phys. Rev. A **40**, 4277 (1989).

[2] D.P. DiVincenzo, B.M. Terhal, and A.V. Thapliyal, Optimal decomposition of barely separable states, J. Mod. Opt. **47**, 377-385 (2000).

[3] W. Dur, G. Vidal, and J. I. Cirac, Three qubits can be entangled in two inequivalent ways, Phys. Rev. A **62**, 062314 (2000).

[4] A. J. Scott, Tight informationally complete quantum measurements, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **39**, 13507-13530 (2006).

[5] E. Alfsen and F. Shultz, Unique decompositions, faces, and automorphisms of separable states, J. Math. Phys. **51**, 052201 (2010).

[6] Lin Chen and D. Ž. Đoković, Qubit-qudit states with positive partial transpose, Phys. Rev. A **86**, 062332 (2012).

[7] Lin Chen and D. Ž. Đoković, Dimensions, lengths, and separability in finite-dimensional quantum systems, J. Math. Phys. **54**, 022201 (2013).

[8] Lin Chen and D. Ž. Đoković, Separability problem for multipartite states of rank at most 4, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **46**, 275304 (2013).

[9] Lin Chen and D. Ž. Đoković, Boundary of the set of separable states, Proc. R. Soc. A **471**, 20150102 (2015).

[10] Lin Chen and D. Ž. Đoković, Properties and construction of extreme bipartite states having positive partial transpose, Commun. Math. Phys. **323**, 241 (2013).

[11] K.-C. Ha and S.-H. Kye, Multi-partite separable states with unique decompositions and construction of three qubit entanglement with positive partial transpose, quant-ph/1402.5813 (2014).

[12] A. Uhlmann, Open Sys. Information Dyn. **5**, 209 (1998).

[13] K.-C. Ha and S.-H. Kye, Separable states with unique decompositions, Commun. Math. Phys. **328**, 131-153 (2014).

[14] K.-C. Ha and S.-H, Kye, Geometry for separable states and construction of entangled states with positive partial transposes, Phys. Rev. A **88**, 024302 (2013).

[15] K. Zyczkowski, P. Horodecki, A. Sanpera, and M. Lewenstein, Volume of the set of separable states, Phys. Rev. A **58**, 1050 (1998).

[16] B. Kraus, J. I. Cirac, S. Karnas, M. Lewenstein, Separability in 2 x N composite quantum systems, Phys. Rev. A **61**, 062302 (2000).

[17] C.H. Bennett, D.P. DiVincenzo, T. Mor, P.W. Shor, J.A. Smolin, and B.M. Terhal, Unextendible product bases and bound entanglement, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 5385-5388 (1999).